arXiv:quant-ph/0112177v2 20 Feb 2002

Some aspects of separability

Shengjun Wu and Jeeva Anandan
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, SC 29208, USA
(Oct. 31, 2001, revised Jan. 8, 2002)

We present three necessary separability criteria for bipartite mixed states, the violation of each of these conditions is a sufficient condition for entanglement. Some ideas on the issue of finding a necessary and sufficient criterion of separability are also discussed.

A bipartite quantum state ρ_{AB} is called separable (disentangled) iff it can be written as

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i q_i \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B \tag{1}$$

where ρ_i^A and ρ_i^B are density matrices for systems A and B respectively, and $q_i > 0$, $\sum_i q_i = 1$. This condition is equivalent to the condition

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \left|\psi_i\right\rangle \left\langle\psi_i\right| \otimes \left|\phi_i\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i\right| \tag{2}$$

where $p_i > 0$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$, and $\left|\psi_i\right\rangle$, $\left|\phi_i\right\rangle$ are normalized pure states of systems A and B respectively, they may not be orthogonal in general.

So far, there have been many ingenious separability criteria. Since a separable state always satisfies Bell's inequalities, the latter represent a necessary condition for separability [1,2], but generally they are not sufficient. Peres [3] discovered another simple necessary condition for separability, a partial transposition of a bipartite quantum state ρ_{AB} with respect to a subsystem A (or B) must be positive if ρ_{AB} is separable. Peres' criterion has been shown by Horodecki et al. [4] to be strong enough to guarantee separability for bipartite systems of dimension 2×2 or 2×3, but, for other cases it is not a sufficient one. It has been proved by Horodecki et al. [4] that a necessary and sufficient condition for separability of bipartite mixed state is its positivity under all the maps of the form $I \otimes \Lambda$, where Λ is any positive map. This criterion is more important in theory than in practice since it involves the characterization of the set of all positive maps, which is not easy. Horodecki-Horodecki [5] and Cerf-Adami-Gingrich [6] have independently derived a reduction criterion of separability for bipartite quantum states. This criterion is equivalent to Peres' for $2 \times n$ composite systems, and it is not sufficient for separability in general cases. Many interesting separability criteria have been presented recently, such as the rank separability criterion derived by Horodecki et al. [7], according to which a separable state cannot have the rank of a reduced density matrix greater than the rank of total density matrix. This necessary condition is easy to apply. It is shown that the separability criterion is also equivalent to solving a set of equations [9]; for a given quantum state which has few nonzero eigenvalues, an analytic result is possible, but for general states, only numerical approach is practical. More recently, Nielsen et al [10] presented the disorder criterion for separability: a separable state has the property that the vector of eigenvalues of the density matrix of system AB is majorized by the vector of eigenvalues of the density matrix of system A (B) alone.

In the following, we shall present three necessary separability criteria for bipartite mixed states; and a state which violates one of these criteria must be entangled. Similar separability criteria can be obtained for multipartite mixed states. Some ideas on the issue of finding a necessary and sufficient criterion for separability will also be discussed.

Theorem 1: If ρ_{AB} is separable then

$$\begin{cases} tr_A(\rho_A^2) \geq tr_{AB}(\rho_{AB}^2) \\ tr_B(\rho_B^2) \geq tr_{AB}(\rho_{AB}^2) \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where ρ_A, ρ_B are the reduced density matrices of systems A and B respectively.

Proof. Since ρ_{AB} is separable, i.e.,

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \left|\psi_i^A \phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle \psi_i^A \phi_i^B\right|$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A &= \textstyle\sum_i p_i \left|\psi_i^A\right\rangle \left\langle \psi_i^A\right| \\ \rho_B &= \textstyle\sum_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle \phi_i^B\right| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} tr_A(\rho_A^2) &= \sum_{ii\prime} p_i p_{i\prime} \left|\left\langle \psi_i^A | \psi_{i\prime}^A \right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{ii\prime} p_i p_{i\prime} \left|\left\langle \psi_i^A \phi_i^B | \psi_{i\prime}^A \phi_{i\prime}^B \right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &= tr_{AB}(\rho_{AB}^2) \end{aligned}$$

The same argument holds for the second inequality.

Remark: (i) This criterion is very easy to operate, we even need not calculate the eigenvalues. The drawback is that although it's a sufficient separability criterion for pure states, it is not a sufficient condition for mixed states, not even for the 2-qubit cases. (ii) This condition can also obtained from Nielsen et al's disorder criterion [10], so it is not as strong as the disorder criterion. (iii) This criterion can be extended easily. A tripartite separable state

$$\rho_{ABC} = \sum_i p_i \left|\psi_i^A\right\rangle \left\langle \psi_i^A\right| \otimes \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle \phi_i^B\right| \otimes \left|\varphi_i^C\right\rangle \left\langle \varphi_i^C\right| \tag{4}$$

always satisfies the following conditions

$$tr_\alpha\{\rho_\alpha^2\} \geq tr_{\alpha\beta}\{\rho_{\alpha\beta}^2\} \geq tr_{ABC}\{\rho_{ABC}^2\} \tag{5}$$

where α, $\beta \in \{A, B, C\}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, and ρ_α ($\rho_{\alpha\beta}$) is the reduced density matrix of system α (systems $\alpha + \beta$).

This theorem is generalized to a $n-$partite separable state as follows. Let $\rho_{A_1 A_2 ... A_n}$ be the density matrix of n systems $A_1, A_2, ...A_n$. If this state is separable then it may be written as

$$\rho_{A_1 A_2 ... A_n} = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i^{A_1} \psi_i^{A_2} .... \psi_i^{A_n} >< \psi_i^{A_1} \psi_i^{A_2} .... \psi_i^{A_n}| \tag{6}$$

It follows that

$$tr_{\alpha_1}\{\rho_{\alpha_1}^2\} \geq tr_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\{\rho_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^2\} \geq ..... \geq tr_{\alpha_1...\alpha_r}\{\rho_{\alpha_1...\alpha_r}^2\} \geq ..... \geq tr_{A_1 A_2 ... A_n}\{\rho_{A_1 A_2 ... A_n}^2\} \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha_1\alpha_2, ...$ represent distinct elements of the set $\{A_1, A_2, ...A_n\}$. Also, ρ_{α_1} is the reduced density matrix of α_1, $\rho_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$ is the reduced density matrix of $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ etc. (i.e. ρ_{α_1} obtained by tracing over

all systems except α_1, and $\rho_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$ is obtained by tracing over all systems except α_1 and α_2 etc. from $\rho_{A_1A_2\ldots A_n}$). The theorem (7) is proved by noting that for every integer r satisfying $1 \leq r < n$,

$$\rho_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_r} = \sum_i p_i|\psi_i^{\alpha_1}....\psi_i^{\alpha_r} >< \psi_i^{\alpha_1}....\psi_i^{\alpha_r}|$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} tr_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_r}\{\rho^2_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_r}\} &= \sum_{ii'} p_i p_{i'}| < \psi_i^{\alpha_1}....\psi_i^{\alpha_r}|\psi_{i'}^{\alpha_1}....\psi_{i'}^{\alpha_r} > |^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{ii'} p_i p_{i'}| < \psi_i^{\alpha_1}....\psi_i^{\alpha_r}\psi_i^{\alpha_{r+1}}|\psi_{i'}^{\alpha_1}....\psi_{i'}^{\alpha_r}\psi_{i'}^{\alpha_{r+1}} > |^2 \\ &= tr_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_r\alpha_{r+1}}\{\rho^2_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_r\alpha_{r+1}}\} \end{aligned}$$

Actually, as was pointed out in [10], attempts to characterize separability based only upon the eigenvalue spectra $\lambda(\rho_{AB})$, $\lambda(\rho_A)$, $\lambda(\rho_B)$ cannot work. The following two states have exactly the same eigenvalue spectra both locally and globally,

$$\rho_{AB} = \frac{1}{3}\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle 00\right| + \frac{2}{3}\left|\psi^+\right\rangle\left\langle\psi^+\right| \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma_{AB} = \frac{2}{3}\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle 00\right| + \frac{1}{3}\left|11\right\rangle\left\langle 11\right| \tag{9}$$

where $|\psi^+\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$, but ρ_{AB} is entangled while σ_{AB} is separable.

In the following other two necessary separability conditions are presented for bipartite systems of dimension $2 \times N$. Let the dimension of system A be 2 and the dimension of system B be N. A given state ρ_{AB}

$$\rho_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{00} & \rho_{01} \\ \rho_{10} & \rho_{11} \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

of systems A and B, where each $\rho_{kl}(k, l = 0, 1)$ is an N-dimensional matrix, can also be written as

$$\rho_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 + M_z & M_x - iM_y \\ M_x + iM_y & M_0 - M_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

or

$$\rho_{AB} = I \otimes M_0 + \sigma_x \otimes M_x + \sigma_y \otimes M_y + \sigma_z \otimes M_z \tag{12}$$

where the four matrices

$$\begin{array}{l} M_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{00} + \rho_{11}) \\ M_z = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{00} - \rho_{11}) \\ M_x = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_{01} + \rho_{10}) \\ M_y = \frac{i}{2}(\rho_{01} - \rho_{10}) \end{array} \tag{13}$$

are N-dimensional and Hermitian.

Let R be a 3-dimensional real matrix, and Υ_R be a transformation on the density matrix ρ_{AB}

$$\Upsilon_R(\rho_{AB}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} M_0 + M_z^R & M_x^R - iM_y^R \\ M_x^R + iM_y^R & M_0 - M_z^R \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_x^R \\ M_y^R \\ M_z^R \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} M_x \\ M_y \\ M_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

We have the following two theorems.

Theorem 2: If ρ_{AB} is separable, then $\Upsilon_R(\rho_{AB})$ must be positively defined (actually it must be a density matrix) for any 3-dimensional real matrix R which satisfies $I - R^T R \geq 0$. (i.e., $I - R^T R$ is positively defined, or equivalently, all eigenvalues of $R^T R$ are less than or equal to 1.)

Remark: If we choose

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Υ_R is the partial transpose of system A, because the latter transformation interchanges ρ_{01} and ρ_{10}, therefore we obtain Peres' criterion as a special case of our theorem 2 for bipartite systems of dimension $2 \times N$. In view of a result of [4] mentioned above, theorem 2 provides a necessary and sufficient criterion for bipartite systems of dimension 2×2 or 2×3.

Theorem 3: If ρ_{AB} is separable, then

(i) $M_0 - \overrightarrow{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{M}$ (i.e., $M_0 - xM_x - yM_y - zM_z$) is positively defined for any vector $\overrightarrow{r}$ of unit length or less ($x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1$); and

(ii) $tr\left(M_0^2 - M_x^2 - M_y^2 - M_z^2\right) \geq 0$.

M_0, M_x, M_y, M_z are defined in eqs. (10-13).

Proof: A separable bipartite state

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i p_i \left|\psi_i^A\right\rangle \left\langle\psi_i^A\right| \otimes \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right|$$

of dimension $2 \times N$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{AB} &= \textstyle\sum_i p_i \frac{1}{2}\left(I + \overrightarrow{r_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}\right) \otimes \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left[I \otimes \textstyle\sum_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_x \otimes \sum_i x_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_y \otimes \sum_i y_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_z \otimes \sum_i z_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right|\right] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where σ_x, σ_y, σ_z are the Pauli matrices and $\{\overrightarrow{r_i}\}$are real vectors on the bloch spree (i.e., the coordinators x_i, y_i, z_i of each vector $\overrightarrow{r_i}$ satisfies $x_i^2 + y_i^2 + z_i^2 = 1$). Comparing eqs.(12) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| = \tfrac{1}{2}\rho_B \\ M_x &= \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_i x_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| \\ M_y &= \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_i y_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| \\ M_z &= \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_i z_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From eqs. (14, 15, 16, 17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_R(\rho_{AB}) &= \textstyle\sum_i p_i \frac{1}{2}\left(I + \overrightarrow{r_i'} \cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}\right) \otimes \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left[I \otimes \textstyle\sum_i p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_x \otimes \sum_i x_i' p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_y \otimes \sum_i y_i' p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right| + \sigma_z \otimes \sum_i z_i' p_i \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_i' \\ y_i' \\ z_i' \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{pmatrix}$$

The condition $I - R^T R \geq 0$ means that $\left|\overrightarrow{r_i'}\right|^2 = x_i'^2 + y_i'^2 + z_i'^2 \leq 1$, therefore $\Upsilon_R(\rho_{AB})$ is still a density matrix (positively defined). This completes the proof of theorem 2.

And from eq. (17), it's easy to get

$$M_0 - \overrightarrow{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{M} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_i p_i \left(1 - \overrightarrow{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{r_i}\right) \left|\phi_i^B\right\rangle \left\langle\phi_i^B\right|\right]$$

Part (i) of theorem 3 is obvious if we notice that $1 - \overrightarrow{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{r_i} \geq 0$.

From eq. (17), we also get

$$\begin{aligned} tr\left(M_0^2 - M_x^2 - M_y^2 - M_z^2\right) &= \tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum_{ij} p_i p_j \left(1 - x_i x_j - y_i y_j - z_i z_j\right)\left|\left\langle\phi_i^B \middle| \phi_j^B\right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &= \tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum_{ij} p_i p_j \left(1 - \overrightarrow{r_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{r_j}\right)\left|\left\langle\phi_i^B \middle| \phi_j^B\right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the last step the inequality $1 - \overrightarrow{r_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{r_j} \geq 0$ has been used. This proves part (ii) of theorem 3.

For example, given

$$\rho_{AB} = \lambda \left|\phi^+\right\rangle \left\langle\phi^+\right| + (1 - \lambda) \left|\phi^-\right\rangle \left\langle\phi^-\right|$$

where $|\phi^\pm\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|00\rangle \pm |11\rangle\right)$. We know that the above state is separable iff $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. From each of the three theorems, we also get the same result.

But none of these theorems is a sufficient criterion of separability for general mixed states.

Some other approaches are discussed in the following, they may be useful to the search of a necessary and sufficient separability criterion.

Let a given state ρ_{AB} be written in the spectrum representation as

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i \lambda_i \left|i^{AB}\right\rangle \left\langle i^{AB}\right| \tag{18}$$

where $\left|i^{AB}\right\rangle$ are the eigenstates of ρ_{AB} corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_i. Let $|\Psi_{ABC}\rangle$ define the purification of ρ_{AB},

$$|\Psi_{ABC}\rangle \equiv \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \left|i^{AB}\right\rangle \left|i^C\right\rangle$$

here $\{\left|i^C\right\rangle\}$ is a set of orthonormal states of system C. And

$$\rho_{AC} \equiv tr_B\left(|\Psi_{ABC}\rangle \langle\Psi_{ABC}|\right) \tag{19}$$

$$\rho_{BC} \equiv tr_A\left(|\Psi_{ABC}\rangle \langle\Psi_{ABC}|\right) \tag{20}$$

According to Hughston-Jozsa-Wootters' result [8], if ρ_{AB} is separable, then, there exists a unitary matrix M such that

$$\sqrt{\lambda_i} \left|i^{AB}\right\rangle = \sum_j M_{ij} \sqrt{p_j} \left|\psi_j^A\right\rangle \left|\phi_j^B\right\rangle$$

Expressed in terms of $\left|\psi_j^A\right\rangle$ and $\left|\phi_j^B\right\rangle$,

$$tr_C(\rho_{AC}\rho_{BC}) = \sum_{jj\prime} p_j p_{j\prime} \left\langle \psi_j^A | \psi_{j\prime}^A \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{j\prime}^B | \phi_j^B \right\rangle \left|\psi_j^A\right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{j\prime}^A\right| \otimes \left|\phi_{j\prime}^B\right\rangle \left\langle \phi_j^B\right| \tag{21}$$

$$\rho_{AB}^2 = \sum_{jj\prime} p_j p_{j\prime} \left\langle \psi_j^A | \psi_{j\prime}^A \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_j^B | \phi_{j\prime}^B \right\rangle \left|\psi_j^A\right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{j\prime}^A\right| \otimes \left|\phi_j^B\right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{j\prime}^B\right| \tag{22}$$

We find that these two expressions are very similar, the only difference is that the second expression has all the index j and $j\prime$ exchanged for system B only. We get the above two expressions provided that ρ_{AB} is separable.

The relations between these two matrices $tr_C(\rho_{AC}\rho_{BC})$ and ρ_{AB}^2 may impose strong criterions for separability. But it is not easy to find the exact relationship, a weaker criterion may be found when we trace part of the system out.

Another possible approach is to investigate the properties of matrix $N(\mu)$ which is defined by

$$N(\mu) \equiv (1+\mu)\rho_A \otimes \rho_B - \mu\rho_{AB}$$

where ρ_A, ρ_B are the reduced density matrix of ρ_{AB}. It can be shown that $N(\mu)$ is also a state (i.e., semi-positive, hermitian and traced to 1) when μ is around 0.

In summary, we have presented three necessary separability criteria. Our first separability criterion is very easy to apply, it involves only matrix multiplication, although it is not as strong as the disorder criterion. The other two criteria are presented for bipartite systems of dimension $2 \times N$; for such systems, Peres' criterion can be obtained from our second criterion as a special case, therefore it also helps us understand Peres' criterion.

We thank Stephen Fenner for useful discussion. This work was partially supported by an NSF grant and an ONR grant.

---

[1] J. S. Bell, Physics (Long Island City, N.Y.) 1 (1964) 195.
[2] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 4277.
[3] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1413.
[4] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996) 1.
[5] M. Horodecki and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 59 (1999) 4206.
[6] N. J. Cerf, C. Adami, R. M. Gingrich, Phys. Rev. A 60 (1999) 898.
[7] P. Horodecki, J. A. Smolin, B. M. Terhal, A. V. Thapliyal, LANL e-print quant-ph/9910122.
[8] L. P. Hughston, R. Jozsa, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Lett. A 183 (1993) 14.
[9] S. Wu, X. Chen, Y. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A 275 (2000) 244.
[10] M. A. Nielsen and J. Kempe, Phys. Rev. Lett. (86) (2001) 5184.